Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 13043–13062, 2008 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/13043/2008/ © Author(s) 2008. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

Clear sky UV simulations in the 21st century based on ozone and temperature projections from Chemistry-Climate Models

K. Tourpali¹, A. F. Bais¹, A. Kazantzidis¹, C. S. Zerefos², H. Akiyoshi³, J. Austin⁴, ${\bf C}.$ Brühl 5 , N. Butchart 6 , M. P. Chipperfield⁷, M. Dameris 8 , M. Deushi 9 , V. Eyring 8 , **M. A. Giorgetta**10**, D. E. Kinnison**11**, E. Mancini**12**, D. R. Marsh**11**, T. Nagashima**³ **,** $\,$ G. Pitari 12 , D. A. Plummer 13 , E. Rozanov 14,15 , K. Shibata 9 , and W. Tian 7

¹ Laboratory of Atmospheric Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece ²Laboratory of Climatology, Faculty of Geology, University of Athens, Athens, Greece ³National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan ⁴Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, NOAA, Princeton, NJ, USA ⁵Max-Planck-Institut für Chemie, Mainz, Germany 6 Met Office Climate Research Division, Exeter, UK ⁷Institute for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK 8 Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net)

8, 13043–13062, 2008

Surface UV simulations in the 21st century

⁹Meteorological Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan 10 Max-Plank-Institut für Meteorologie, Hamburg, Germany ¹¹ National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA ¹² Università L'Aquila, Dipartimento di Fisica, L'Aquila, Italy ¹³Environment Canada, Toronto, Ontario, Canada ¹⁴ Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹⁵ Physical-Meteorological Observatory and World Radiation Center, Davos, Switzerland Received: 15 April 2008 – Accepted: 12 June 2008 – Published: 9 July 2008

Correspondence to: K. Tourpali (tourpali@auth.gr)

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net)

8, 13043–13062, 2008

Surface UV simulations in the 21st century

Abstract

We have used total ozone columns and vertical profiles of ozone and temperature from 11 coupled Chemistry-Climate Models (CCMs) to project future solar ultraviolet radiation levels at the surface in the 21st century. The CCM simulations are used as ⁵ input to a radiative transfer model for the simulation of the corresponding future UV irradiance levels under cloud free conditions, presented here as time series of monthly erythemal irradiance received at the surface during local noon covering the period 1960 to 2100. Starting from the first decade of the 21st century, the surface erythemal irradiance decreases globally as a result of the projected ozone recovery, at rates which ¹⁰ are larger in the first half of the 21st century, compared to the period up to 2100.

- The magnitude of these decreases varies with latitude and is more pronounced at areas where ozone has been depleted most considerably after 1980. Over midlatitudes surface erythemal irradiance decreases between 5 and 15% by 2100 relative to 2000, while at the southern high latitudes these changes are twice as much. Climate change
- ¹⁵ may affect future cloudiness, surface reflectivity and tropospheric aerosol loading, the effects of which are not included in this study. Therefore, the actual changes in future UV radiation are likely to change accordingly in the areas affected.

1 Introduction

Stratospheric ozone depletion has been one of the first priority environmental concerns ²⁰ in the past three decades, due to the consequent increases in solar ultraviolet radiation reaching the earth's surface (e.g., Herman et al., 1999; Kerr et al., 2003), and related skin cancer risks (e.g., Slaper et al., 1996). Observations suggest that the concentrations of the ozone depleting substances in the atmosphere have started to decrease as a result of the measures taken under the Montreal Protocol and its Amendments and ²⁵ Adjustments (WMO, 2007). Future levels of surface UV radiation will depend on the

evolution of various factors, known to influence the propagation of solar UV radiation in

the atmosphere. Some of these factors, such as ozone, clouds and surface reflectivity are included in the standard output products of coupled Chemistry-Climate Models (CCMs) (e.g., Eyring et al., 2006, 2007), whereas the prediction of future aerosol levels and their optical characteristics, that are very important in the attenuation of UV radia-

- ⁵ tion, is a topic of ongoing research. Under clear skies, the most important factor affecting UV radiation is stratospheric ozone, followed by tropospheric ozone and aerosols, and to a lesser extent, by SO₂, NO₂ and other trace gases in urban atmospheres. The effects of ozone depend on its column abundance, but also on its vertical distribution and the corresponding temperature at each level (Lapeta et al., 2000; Kazantzidis,
- ¹⁰ 2004; McKenzie et al., 2003). Prediction of future surface UV levels can be achieved through radiative transfer model calculations using the above-mentioned factors as input (Bais et al., 2007). Erythemally weighted irradiance (McKinlay and Diffey, 1987) represents a broad spectral region in the ultraviolet and as it provides a direct link to the harmful biological effects of UV radiation, it was chosen as a suitable parameter to 15 quantify future UV levels.

In order to simulate surface erythemal irradiance fields in the 21st century, we use here monthly mean total ozone and zonal-mean vertical profiles of ozone and temperature as projected by the CCMs taking part in the CCM Validation Activity (CCMVal) for WCRP's (World Climate Research Programme) SPARC (Stratospheric Processes ²⁰ and their Role in Climate project). All irradiance simulations have been done assuming clear skies, since, at present, no usable information on the future levels of the other UV influencing factors is available.

2 Methodology and data

Total column ozone as well as zonal-mean ozone and temperature profiles used for ²⁵ the UV calculations are taken from the CCMVal reference simulations for the future ("REF2") (Eyring et al., 2005a, 2005b, 2007). The CCMVal standard output of these reference simulations did not include information on clouds. Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)

in the simulations follow the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) SRES (Special Report on Emission Scenarios). (IPCC, 2002) A1B (medium) scenario and surface halogens are prescribed according to the Ab scenario of (WMO, 2003). Sea Surface Temperatures (SST) and Sea Ice Concentrations in the CCM simulations are

- ⁵ taken from coupled atmosphere-ocean model projections using the same GHG scenario. REF2 includes only anthropogenic forcings, while natural forcings such as solar variability and external triggering of the quasi biennial oscillation (QBO) are not included. However, AMTRAC and E39C models include future solar forcing and E39C includes also an externally forced QBO. The eleven CCMs considered in this study are
- ¹⁰ listed in Table 1, and further details are given in the listed reference for each model. For two models, there are differences from the description of the runs as given in Eyring et al. (2007): (a) the run from CCSRNIES model has been extended through 2100, and (b) the MRI model group provided new runs with improved transport of chemical species, extended through 2099.
- ¹⁵ Each model provided the simulated monthly mean total ozone columns in different latitude-longitude reslution and the pertaining vertical distribution of ozone and temperature as zonal monthly means at the same latitudes as for total ozone. Therefore, for total ozone, we calculated first the zonal mean from the monthly means for each model as a function of time and latitude, which were then interpolated linearly to 5◦ latitude
- ²⁰ intervals. For the ozone and temperature profiles, the zonal monthly means were also linearly interpolated to 5° latitude intervals, same as for total ozone. E39C has the upper boundary centered at 10 hPa whereas all other models are middle atmosphere CCMs. For E39C we used standard atmospheric ozone and temperature profiles from the AFGL model atmospheres (Anderson et al., 1986) for the appropriate latitude and ²⁵ season above 10 hPa, scaled to match the total ozone column in each grid. Then the

radiative transfer model calculations were performed over the appropriate latitudes.

For the UV radiation calculations we used the UVSPEC model of the LibRadTran package (Mayer and Kylling, 2005) with the DISORT solver running with 6 streams (Stamnes and Swanson, 1981; Stamnes et al., 1988). Surface UV reflectivity was set

to 0.05, except for the polar regions where it was set to 0.7. For the aerosol optical depth, the default profile by Elterman (1968) was used. This profile results in a columnar optical depth of 0.38 at 340 nm, which is certainly not appropriate for all locations and for the future atmospheres, but is more realistic than assuming aerosol free at-⁵ mosphere. We should note that there is no quantitative information on the evolution of changes in the tropospheric aerosol loading in the 21st century, an issue that was not addressed in the CCMVal simulations used here. Nevertheless, any differences in surface UV irradiance that would arise from the use of another aerosol profile would have been practically the same for all years, as long as the profile and the resulting ¹⁰ optical depth are kept constant throughout the period of study. As far as it concerns the stratosphere, the CCMVal REF2 runs apply fixed sulfate aerosols conditions from

- 1999 (volcanic free). In this study we are primarily concerned with relative changes in erythemal irradiance throughout the 21st century; hence the changes induced by the constant aerosols are canceled out.
- ¹⁵ Based on the input data described above (monthly means for ozone and temperature), the erythemal irradiance for the solar zenith angle corresponding to the local noon of the 15th of each month was calculated for all latitudes. All results are presented here as departures (in %) from the corresponding monthly means of the 10-year average of the period 1996 through 2005. Although it would be more meaningful to normalize with
- ²⁰ the 10-year average of the 1980s, corresponding to pre-ozone depletion conditions, not all CCMs provided data for the period before 2000 for their runs under the REF2 scenario. For the models that provided data starting in year 2000 (E39C, GEOSCCM, and, MAECHAM4CHEM), we calculated the 6-year average from 2000 through 2005. In the case of multiple model simulations the ensemble average was calculated (AMTRAC, ²⁵ E39C, CMAM and WACCM).

In this study we focus on the relative changes of surface UV irradiance in the future rather than in absolute values, the main reason being the difference in absolute total ozone estimates among the CCMs for details see Eyring et al. (2007). Moreover, as the effects from major factors that influence UV radiation, such as clouds, aerosol and

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net) 8, 13043–13062, 2008 **Surface UV simulations in the 21st century** K. Tourpali et al. [Title Page](#page--1-0) [Abstract](#page--1-0) [Introduction](#page--1-0) [Conclusions](#page--1-0) [References](#page--1-0) [Tables](#page--1-0) **[Figures](#page--1-0)** \sim J \sim **J** I I I I Back Close Full Screen / Esc [Printer-friendly Version](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/13043/2008/acpd-8-13043-2008-print.pdf) [Interactive Discussion](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/13043/2008/acpd-8-13043-2008-discussion.html)

surface reflectivity are not included in the calculations, it is more realistic to demonstrate the relative effect from changes in ozone, the only parameter that is taken into account.

3 Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows zonal averages of erythemal irradiance departures for the latitude belts of 70◦ N–55◦ N, 55◦ N–25◦ N, 25◦ N–25◦ S, 25◦ S–55◦ S, and 55◦ S–70◦ ⁵ S (top to bottom), representing the high and the middle latitudes of the two hemispheres and the tropics. Each line corresponds to the calculation based on the ozone prediction from each different model, as annual mean, in order to eliminate the short term variability caused by the seasonal variations of ozone.

¹⁰ Erythemal irradiance under cloud-free skies is decreasing after the first two decades of the 21*st* century, with higher rates at the high latitudes of both hemispheres, reflecting the projected ozone recovery for the next few decades (Eyring et al., 2007). The changes in erythemal irradiance in the tropics are smaller in absolute value but still negative. The highest UV levels are seen in the period 1990–2010, when the simulated ¹⁵ ozone has reached its minimum. The negative departures of irradiance in the 1980s and early 1990s show that total ozone, as simulated by the CCMs, had not reached its minimum until early 2000s.

The magnitudes of the calculated changes in erythemal irradiance vary significantly, as a result of differences in the predicted ozone columns from the CCMs. After the first ₂₀ quarter of the 21st century the calculated changes start to diverge among the models, and especially after 2050 when only four models (two with multiple runs) are available. The projected reduction of erythemal irradiance towards 2100 ranges between 5% and 15% for midlatitudes and is only a few percent in the tropics. At the southern high latitudes the reductions are about two times larger, ranging between about ∼10% and 25% .

The evolution of the zonal-mean erythemal irradiance at the two southernmost latitude belts for the months October–November is seen in Fig. 2. During this season the

Antarctic ozone hole reaches its maximum in area coverage as well as in intensity. The interannual variability of all models is larger at these latitudes. In the 55◦ –75◦ S belt, the erythemal irradiance changes become negative for all models after about 2020, and they continue to decrease reaching on the average −25% on year 2100. At the

- 5 southernmost belt (75°–90°S) the departures become negative for all models about 10 years later and are larger in magnitude (∼−40% in 2100). During this season, the variability in the other latitude belts (not shown here) is much smaller, i.e. similar to the annual patterns presented in Fig. 1. All these changes in erythemal irradiance reflect the corresponding changes in the simulated ozone fields.
- 10 In Fig. 3 the relevant changes in surface erythemal irradiance for the northern high and polar latitudes and for late winter – early spring months (March–April) are presented. Again the inter-annual variability of each model is large (larger in the MRI runs) mainly in the polar region, but nevertheless smaller than in the southern hemisphere (note the different ordinates between Figs. 2 and 3). The pronounced negative
- ¹⁵ course that may be attributed to the predicted ozone recovery is seen here since about the mid 2020s, earlier than in the southern hemisphere. These changes are about half in magnitude compared to the changes in the southern hemisphere (Fig. 2).

In the case of multiple model simulations, the variability of the ensembles is larger in the polar regions between the individual runs of each model, mainly in the late winter

 $20 -$ early spring months such as October in the Southern and March in the Northern hemisphere. This variability, which becomes much smaller when calculating annual or seasonal averages, remains large until about the mid 2030s and is reduced afterwards, the individual simulations merging to each other. Still, the spread of the ensembles, even in the case of CMAM (which is forced by different SSTs) is smaller than the ²⁵ differences from model to model.

Figure 4 shows the seasonal and latitudinal variability of decadal averages of clearsky erythemal irradiance changes (%) from the 1996–2005 average for the 2010s and the 2090s. These changes are an ensemble of the changes calculated from the different CCMs. The number of models entering each ensemble depends on the length

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$

of each model's simulation. In the 2010s the changes are small, in the order of 1–2% and only in the southern hemisphere spring there are signs of greater reductions. In the 2090s, reductions in erythemal irradiance are seen over the entire globe. These are smallest in the tropical region (the order of a few percent) and become more pro-⁵ nounced as we move poleward. The Antarctic region exhibits the largest reductions (up to 47%) in the austral spring period. All these negative changes are a result of the projected recovery of the ozone layer.

In all calculations presented here we have used total ozone data together with the vertical ozone and temperature distribution as provided by the models. However, even

- ¹⁰ if the analysis is performed using only the total ozone information from each model, i.e. using constant profiles from AFGL scaled to match the total ozone, the difference is very small outside the polar regions. In the polar regions, it is of the order of a few percent, reflected mostly in the year to year variability of each model. The negative correlation between total ozone and UV irradiance reaching the ground is so high, that ¹⁵ total ozone might be viewed as the most important component in predicting future UV
- irradiance levels under clear skies and constant aerosol loading.

Future UV levels are likely to be affected by other factors influenced by climate change, such as cloudiness, aerosols and surface albedo. Therefore the predicted changes towards the middle of the 21*st* century due only to ozone trends may change ²⁰ substantially, even reverse in sign, depending on season and latitude. According to

- the Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) of the IPCC (Meehl et al., 2007 and in particular their Fig. 10), cloud cover is predicted to decrease by the end of the 21st century in most of the low and middle latitudes of both hemispheres. This would result in further increases in surface UV radiation at these regions. The opposite is expected at high
- ²⁵ latitudes and at a few low-latitude regions where cloud cover is predicted to increase. This is supported by preliminary results (not shown here) from an ongoing study on the long term effects of clouds on future surface UV irradiance derived from results of the General Circulation Models used in the IPCC AR4.

A decrease in surface reflectivity in the high to polar latitudes of both hemispheres

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net) 8, 13043–13062, 2008 **Surface UV simulations in the 21st century** K. Tourpali et al.

due to reduction of ice covered areas e.g., Comiso et al., 2008; Overland and Wang, 2007) would result in a decrease of surface UV radiation over these areas, enhancing the projected decrease in surface erythemal irradiance due to ozone.

In conclusion, the projected recovery of the ozone layer during the 21st century ⁵ in conjunction with the expected changes in other UV influencing factors due to climate changes are expected to modify accordingly the erythemal solar irradiance at the earth's surface leading in general to decreases in areas where the ozone depletion has been most pronounced, like the Antarctica. The magnitude of the UV predictions is associated with large uncertainties due to uncertainties in the projections of all the ¹⁰ involved parameters. These uncertainties will become smaller as more quantitative information on the effects of climate change become available.

Acknowledgements. This work has been conducted in the framework of "SCOUT-O3" project, funded by the European Commission. Co-ordination of this study was supported by the

Chemistry-Climate Model Validation Activity (CCMVal) for WCRP's (World Climate Research ¹⁵ Programme) SPARC (Stratospheric Processes and their Role in Climate) project. We thank the British Atmospheric Data Center for assistance with the CCMVal Archive. The future run of CCM SOCOL was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant SCOPES IB7320-110884).

References

- ²⁰ Akiyoshi, H., Sugita, T., Kanzawa, H., and Kawamoto, N.: Ozone perturbations in the Arctic summer lower stratosphere as a reflection of NOX chemistry and planetary scale wave activity, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D03304, doi:10.1029/2003JD003632, 2004. Anderson, G. P., Clough, S. A., Kneizys, F. X., Chetwynd, J. H., and Shettle, E. P.: AFGL
- atmospheric constituent profiles (0–120 km), Tech. Rep. AFGL-TR-86-0110, Mass., Air Force ²⁵ Geophys. Lab., Hascom Air Force Base, 1986.
	- Austin, J. and Wilson, R. J.: Ensemble simulations of the decline and recovery of stratospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D16314, doi:10.1029/2005JD006907, 2006. Austin, J., Wilson, R. J., Li, F., and Vömel, H.: Evolution of water vapor concentrations and

stratospheric age of air in coupled chemistry-climate model simulations, J. Atmos. Sci., 17 905–17 921, 2006.

- Bais, A. F., Lubin, D., Arola, A., Bernhard, G., Blumthaler, M., Chubarova, N., Erlick, C., Gies, H. P., Krotkov, N., Lantz, K., Mayer, B., McKenzie, R. L., Piacentini, R., Seckmeyer,
- ⁵ G., Slusser, J. R., and Zerefos, C.: Surface ultraviolet radiation: Past, present and future, Geneva, Switzerland, Chapter 7 in Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2006, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project–Report No. 47, World Meteorological Organization, 58, 2007.

Beagley, S. R., Grandpré, J. D., Koshyk, J. N., McFarlane, N. A., and Shepherd, T. G.:

- ¹⁰ Radiative- dynamical climatology of the first-generation Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model, Atmos. Ocean, 35, 293–331, 1997.
	- Comiso, J. C., Parkinson, C. L., Gersten, R., and Stock, L.: Accelerated decline in the Arctic sea ice cover, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L01703, doi:10.1029/2007GL031972, 2008.

Dameris, M., Grewe, V., Ponater, M., Deckert, R., Eyring, V., Mager, F., Matthes, S., Schnadt,

¹⁵ C., Stenke, A., Steil, B., Bruhl, C., and Giorgetta, M.: Long-term changes and variability in ¨ a transient simulation with a chemistry-climate model employing realistic forcings, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2121–2145, 2005, [http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/2121/2005/.](http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/2121/2005/)

Dameris, M., Matthes, S., Deckert, R., Grewe, V., and Ponater, M.: Solar cycle effect delays onset of ozone recovery, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L03806, doi:10.1029/2005GL024741, 2006.

- 20 de Grandpré, J., Beagley, S. R., Fomichev, V. I., Griffioen, E., McConnell, J. C., Medvedev, A. S., and Shepherd, T. G.: Ozone climatology using interactive chemistry: Results from the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 26 475–26 491, 2000.
- Egorova, T., Rozanov, E., Zubov, V., Manzini, E., Schmutz, W., and Peter, T.: Chemistry-climate model SOCOL: a validation of the present-day climatology, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1557– ²⁵ 1576, 2005, [http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1557/2005/.](http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1557/2005/)
	- Elterman, L.: UV, visible, and IR attenuation for altitudes to 50 km, Bedford, MA, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, 1968.
	- Eyring, V., Harris, N. R. P., Rex, M., Shepherd, T. G., Fahey, D. W., Amanatidis, G. T., Austin, J., Chipperfield, M. P., Dameris, M., Forster, P. M. D. F., Gettelman, A., Graf, H. F., Nagashima,
- ³⁰ T., Newman, P. A., Pawson, S., Prather, M. J., Pyle, J. A., Salawitch, R. J., Santer, B. D., and Waugh, D. W.: A strategy for process-oriented validation of coupled chemistry-climate models, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 86, 1117–1133, 2005a.

Eyring, V., Butchart, N., Waugh, D. W., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Bekki, S., Bodeker, G. E., Boville,

B. A., Brühl, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Cordero, E., Dameris, M., Deushi, M., Fioletov, V. E., Frith, S. M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Grewe, V., Jourdain, L., Kinnison, D. E., Mancini, E., Manzini, E., Marchand, M., Marsh, D. R., Nagashima, T., Newman, P. A., Nielsen, J. E., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Rozanov, E., Schraner, M., Shepherd,

- ⁵ T. G., Shibata, K., Stolarski, R. S., Struthers, H., Tian, W., and Yoshiki, M.: Assessment of temperature, trace species, and ozone in chemistry-climate model simulations of the recent past, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D22308, doi:10.1029/2006JD007327, 2006.
	- Eyring, V., Waugh, D. W., Bodeker, G. E., Cordero, E., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Beagley, S. R., Boville, B. A., Braesicke, P., Brühl, C., Butchart, N., Chipperfield, M. P., Dameris, M., Deckert,
- ¹⁰ R., Deushi, M., Frith, S. M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Kinnison, D. E., Mancini, E., Manzini, E., Marsh, D. R., Matthes, S., Nagashima, T., Newman, P. A., Nielsen, J. E., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Rozanov, E., Schraner, M., Scinocca, J. F., Semeniuk, K., Shepherd, T. G., Shibata, K., Steil, B., Stolarski, R. S., Tian, W., and Yoshiki, M.: Multi-model projections of stratospheric ozone in the 21st century, J. Geophys. Res.,

¹⁵ 112, D16303, doi:10.1029/2006JD008332, 2007.

Garcia, R. R., Marsh, D. R., Kinnison, D. E., Boville, B. A., and Sassi, F.: Simulation of secular trends in the middle atmosphere, 1950–2003, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D09301, doi:10.1029/2006JD007485, 2007.

Herman, J. R., McKenzie, R. L., Diaz, S. B., Kerr, J. B., Madronich, S., and Seckmeyer, G.:

²⁰ Ultraviolet radiation at the Earth's surface, in: UNEP/WMO Scientific Assessment of the Ozone Layer: 1998, edited by: Albritton, D. L., Aucamp, P. J., Megie, G., and Watson, R. T., 9, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 44, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, 9.1–9.46, 1999.

IPCC: Special Report on Emissions Scenarios, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, ²⁵ Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 612, 2002.

Kazantzidis, A., Bais, A. F., Balis, D. S., Kosmidis, E., Zebila, M.-M., and Zerefos, C. S.: Sensitivity of solar UV radiation calculations to ozone and temperature profiles, in: Ozone, XX Quadrennial Ozone Symposium, Kos, Greece, 1101–1102, 2004.

Kerr, J. B., Seckmeyer, G., Bais, A. F., Bernhard, G., Blumthaler, M., Diaz, S. B., Krotkov, N.

³⁰ A., Lubin, D., McKenzie, R. L., Sabziparvar, A. A., and Verdebout, J.: Surface ultraviolet radiation: Past and future, Geneva, Chapter 5 in Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2002, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 47, World Meteorological Organization, 2003.

13055

- Kurokawa, J., Akiyoshi, H., Nagashima, T., Masunaga, H., Nakajima, T., Takahashi, M., and Nakane, H.: Effects of atmospheric sphericity on stratospheric chemistry and dynamics over Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D21305, doi:10.1029/2005JD005798, 2005.
- Lapeta, B., Engelson, O., Litynska, Z., Kois, B., and Kylling, A.: Sensitivity of surface UV ⁵ radiation and ozone column retrieval to ozone and temperature profiles, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 105, 5001–5007, 2000.
- Manzini, E., Steil, B., Brühl, C., Giorgetta, M. A., and Krüger, K.: A new interactive chemistry climate model. 2: Sensitivity of the middle atmosphere to ozone depletion and increase in greenhouse gases: implications for recent stratospheric cooling, J. Geophys. Res., 108, ¹⁰ doi:10.1029/2002JD002977, 2003.
	- Mayer, B. and Kylling, A.: Technical note: The libRadtran software package for radiative transfer calculations - description and examples of use, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1855–1877, 2005, [http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1855/2005/.](http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1855/2005/)
- McKenzie, R., Smale, D., Bodeker, G., and Claude, H.: Ozone profile differences between ¹⁵ Europe and New Zealand: Effects on surface UV irradiance and its estimation from satellite sensors, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4179, doi:10.1029/2002JD002770, 2003.
	- McKinlay, A. F. and Diffey, B. L.: A Reference Action Spectrum for Ultra-violet Induced Erythema in Human Skin, in: Human Exposure to Ultraviolet Radiation: Risks and Regulations, edited by: Passchier, W. F., and Bosnajakovic, B. F. M., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 83–87, 1987.
- ²⁰ Meehl, G. A., Stocker, T. F., Collins, W. D., Friedlingstein, P., Gaye, A. T., Gregory, J. M., Kitoh, A., Knutti, R., Murphy, J. M., Noda, A., Raper, S. C. B., Watterson, I. G., Weaver, A. J., and Zhao, Z.-C.: Global Climate Projections, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M.,
- ²⁵ Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 99, 2007.
	- Overland, J. E. and Wang, M.: Future regional Arctic sea ice declines, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L17705, doi:10.1029/2007GL030808, 2007.

Pawson, S., Stolarski, R. S., Douglass, A. R., Newman, P. A., Nielsen, J. E., Frith, S. H.,

³⁰ and Gupta, M. L.: Goddard Earth Observing System Chemistry-Climate Model Simulations of Stratospheric Ozone-Temperature Coupling Between 1950 and 2005, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/2007JD009511, in press, 2008.

Pitari, G., Mancini, E., Rizi, V., and Shindell, D.: Feedback of future climate and sulfur emission

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net)

8, 13043–13062, 2008

Surface UV simulations in the 21st century

changes on stratospheric aerosols and ozone, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 414–440, 2002. Rozanov, E., Schraner, M., Schnadt, C., Egorova, T., Wild, M., Ohmura, A., Zubov, V., Schmutz, W., and Peter, T.: Assessment of the ozone and temperature variability during 1979–1993 with the chemistry-climate model SOCOL, Adv. Space Res., 35, 1375–1384, 2005.

- ⁵ Shibata, K. and Deushi, M.: Partitioning between resolved wave forcing and unresolved gravity wave forcing to the quasi-biennial oscillation as revealed with a coupled chemistry-climate model, Geophys. Res. Lett., L12820, doi:10.1029/2005GL022885, 2005.
- Shibata, K., Deushi, M., Sekiyama, T. T., and Yoshimura, H.: Development of an MRI chemical transport model for the study of stratospheric chemistry, Pap. Meteorol. Geophys., 55, 75– ¹⁰ 119, 2005.
- - Shiogama, H., Watanabe, M., Kimoto, M., and Nozawa, T.: Anthropogenic and natural forcing impacts on ENSO-like decadal variability during the second half of the 20th century, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L21714, doi:10.1029/2005GL023871, 2005.

Slaper, H., Velders, G. J. M., Daniel, J. S., de Gruijl, F. R., and van der Leun, J. C.: Estimates of

- ¹⁵ ozone depletion and skin cancer incidence to examine the Vienna convention achievements, Nature, 384, 256–258, 1996.
	- Stamnes, K. and Swanson, R. A.: A new look at the discrete ordinate method for radiative transfer calculations in anisotropically scattering atmospheres, J. Atmos. Sci., 38, 387–399, 1981.
- ²⁰ Stamnes, K., Tsay, S.-C., Wiscombe, W., and Jayaweera, K.: Numerically stable algorithm for discrete-ordinate-method radiative transfer in multiple scattering and emitting layered media, Appl. Optics, 27, 2502–2509, 1988.
	- Steil, B., Bruhl, C., Manzini, E., Crutzen, P. J., Lelieveld, J., Rasch, P. J., Roeckner, E., and Kruger, K.: A new interactive chemistry-climate model: 1. Present-day climatology and inter-
- ²⁵ annual variability of the middle atmosphere using the model and 9 years of HALOE/UARS data, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4290, doi:10.1029/2002JD002971, 2003.
	- Stolarski, R. S., Douglass, A. R., Steenrod, S., and Pawson, S.: Trends in stratospheric ozone: Lessons learned from a 3D chemical transport model, J. Atmos. Sci., 63, 1028– 1041, doi:10.1175/JAS3650.1, 2006.
- ³⁰ Tian, W. and Chipperfield, M. P.: A new coupled chemistry–climate model for the stratosphere: The importance of coupling for future O3-climate predictions, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 131, 281–304, 2005.

WMO: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2002, World Meteorological Organisation,

[Interactive Discussion](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/13043/2008/acpd-8-13043-2008-discussion.html)

[Printer-friendly Version](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/13043/2008/acpd-8-13043-2008-print.pdf)

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Geneva, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project – Report No. 47, 498, 2003. WMO: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2006, World Meteorological Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland, Global ozone Research and Monitoring Project – Report No. 50, 572, 2007.

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net)

8, 13043–13062, 2008

Surface UV simulations in the 21st century

Table 1. A summary of the Climate Chemistry Models used in this study. (For details and references see Eyring et al., 2007).

8, 13043–13062, 2008 **Surface UV simulations in the 21st century** K. Tourpali et al. [Title Page](#page--1-0) [Abstract](#page--1-0) [Introduction](#page--1-0) [Conclusions](#page--1-0) [References](#page--1-0) [Tables](#page--1-0) [Figures](#page--1-0) \blacksquare **J** I I I I Back Close Full Screen / Esc [Printer-friendly Version](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/13043/2008/acpd-8-13043-2008-print.pdf)

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net)

[Interactive Discussion](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/13043/2008/acpd-8-13043-2008-discussion.html)

Fig. 1. Annual means of surface erythemal irradiance for five latitude belts. From top left to bottom **(a)** 75◦ N–55◦ N, **(b)** 55◦ N–25◦ N, **(c)** 25◦ N–25◦ S, **(d)** 25◦ S–55◦ S and **(e)** 55◦ S–75◦ S. The model names (in the corresponding color) are indicated in the center panel. Suffix "-e" indicates ensemble means. The black line represents the model average.

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net)

Fig. 2. Average of surface erythemal irradiance for October–November at the two southernmost latitude belts. From top to bottom **(a)** 55◦ S–75◦ S and **(b)** 75◦ S–90◦ S. Model names as in Fig. 1.

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

[Printer-friendly Version](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/13043/2008/acpd-8-13043-2008-print.pdf)

[Interactive Discussion](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/13043/2008/acpd-8-13043-2008-discussion.html)

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net)

8, 13043–13062, 2008

Fig. 3. Average of surface erythemal irradiance for March–April at the two northernmost latitude belts. From top to bottom **(a)** 75◦ N–90◦ N and **(b)** 55◦ N–75◦ N. Model names as in Fig. 1. 13061

[ACPD](http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net)

8, 13043–13062, 2008

Surface UV simulations in the 21st century

K. Tourpali et al.

Fig. 4. Decadal averages of clear-sky erythemal irradiance changes (%) with respect to the 1996–2005 average. Calculations refer to local noon values and changes reflect the predicted changes in total ozone by the CCMs. Due to differences in the period of the CCM outputs, the number of models entering each ensemble differs between periods.